## ROUND A (ENGLISH)

2 [Persuasiveness of the Briefs]C Id3 [Opening Statement]W fil[Issue 1: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness]A a[Issue 2: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness]A a[Issue 3: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness]A a[Issue 4: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness]A a	On each issue, ogical and pers 1(Poor) — Was the openir finish it within 1(Poor) — As to Issue 1, wan appropriate 1(Poor) — As to Issue 2, wan appropriate 1(Poor) — As to Issue 3, wan appropriate 1(Poor) —	is the br suasive? - 1.5	ief well found - 2(Fair) — ent effective limit? Did sho - 2(Fair) — essary facts ere the factur - 2(Fair) — essary facts ere the factur	ded with r 2.5 e in conve e/he use 2.5 and the l al basis and 2.5 and the l	respect to fac -3(Avg.) — tying the over the time effect -3(Avg.) — egal basis for nd legal reaso -3(Avg.) — egal basis for	ts, legal pr 	— 4(Exc.) — of the team's — 4(Exc.) — and/or defens e team persua — 4(Exc.) —	other aut — 4.5 — argument — 4.5 — sive on Is — 4.5 —	horities? Is it — 5(Outst.) ts? Did she/he — 5(Outst.) ted clearly and sue 1? — 5(Outst.)	
2 [Persuasiveness of the Briefs]Id Id3 [Opening Statement]V fi[Issue 1: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness]A a[Issue 2: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness]A a[Issue 3: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness]A a[Issue 4: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness]A a	ogical and pers 1 (Poor) — Nas the openir Tinish it within 1 (Poor) — As to Issue 1, van appropriate 1 (Poor) — As to Issue 2, van appropriate 1 (Poor) — As to Issue 3, van appropriate 1 (Poor) —	suasive? - 1.5	- 2(Fair) — ent effective limit? Did she - 2(Fair) — essary facts ere the factu - 2(Fair) — essary facts ere the factu	— 2.5 — e in conve e/he use — 2.5 — and the I al basis a — 2.5 — and the I	— 3(Avg.) — eying the over the time effec — 3(Avg.) — egal basis for nd legal reaso — 3(Avg.) — egal basis for	— 3.5 — all picture ctively? — 3.5 — the claim ning of the — 3.5 — the claim	— 4(Exc.) — of the team's — 4(Exc.) — and/or defens e team persua — 4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 — argument — 4.5 — se present sive on Is — 4.5 —	5(Outst.) ts? Did she/he 5(Outst.) ted clearly and sue 1? 5(Outst.)	
3 [Opening Statement] V   [Issue 1: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness] A   [Issue 1: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness] A   [Issue 2: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness] A   [Issue 3: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness] A   [Issue 4: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness] A	Was the openir inish it within 1(Poor) — As to Issue 1, wan an appropriate 1(Poor) — As to Issue 2, wan appropriate 1(Poor) — As to Issue 3, wan an appropriate 1(Poor) —	ng statem the time - 1.5 were nec time? We - 1.5 were nec time? We	ent effective limit? Did sho - 2(Fair) — essary facts ere the factu - 2(Fair) — essary facts ere the factu	e in conve e/he use — 2.5 — and the I al basis a — 2.5 — and the I	eying the over the time effec - 3(Avg.) - egal basis for nd legal reaso - 3(Avg.) - egal basis for	all picture ctively? — 3.5 — the claim ning of the — 3.5 — the claim	of the team's — 4(Exc.) — and/or defens e team persua — 4(Exc.) —	argument — 4.5 — se present sive on Is — 4.5 —	ts? Did she/he — 5(Outst.) ted clearly and sue 1? — 5(Outst.)	
3 [Opening Statement]fi3 [Opening Statement]A[Issue 1: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness]A[Issue 2: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness]A[Issue 3: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness]A[Issue 4: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness]A	inish it within 1 (Poor) As to Issue 1, van an appropriate 1 (Poor) As to Issue 2, van an appropriate 1 (Poor) As to Issue 3, van an appropriate 1 (Poor)	the time - 1.5 were nec time? We - 1.5 were nec time? We - 1.5 were nec	limit? Did sho - 2(Fair) — essary facts ere the factu - 2(Fair) — essary facts ere the factu	e/he use — 2.5 — and the I al basis a — 2.5 — and the I	the time effec — 3(Avg.) — egal basis for nd legal reaso — 3(Avg.) — egal basis for	tively? — 3.5 — the claim ning of the — 3.5 — the claim	— 4(Exc.) — and∕or defens e team persua — 4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 — se present sive on Is — 4.5 —	— 5(Outst.) ted clearly and sue 1? — 5(Outst.)	
[Issue 1: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness]A a[Issue 2: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness]A a[Issue 3: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness]A a[Issue 4: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness]A a	As to Issue 1, v an appropriate 1(Poor) — As to Issue 2, v an appropriate 1(Poor) — As to Issue 3, v an appropriate 1(Poor) —	were nec time? We - 1.5 were nec time? We - 1.5 were nec	essary facts ere the factu - 2(Fair) — essary facts ere the factu	and the I al basis a — 2.5 — and the I	egal basis for nd legal reaso — 3(Avg.) — egal basis for	the claim ning of the — 3.5 — the claim	and/or defens e team persua — 4(Exc.) —	se presen <sup>s</sup> sive on Is — 4.5 —	ted clearly and sue 1? — 5(Outst.)	
[Issue 1: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness]a[Issue 2: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness]A[Issue 3: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness]A[Issue 4: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness]A	an appropriate 1 (Poor) — As to Issue 2, van an appropriate 1 (Poor) — As to Issue 3, van an appropriate 1 (Poor) —	time? We - 1.5 were nec time? We - 1.5 were nec	ere the factu - 2(Fair) — essary facts ere the factu	al basis a — 2.5 — and the I	nd legal reaso — 3(Avg.) — egal basis for	ning of the — 3.5 — the claim	e team persua — 4(Exc.) —	sive on Is — 4.5 —	sue 1? — 5(Outst.)	
[Issue 2: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness]A a[Issue 3: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness]A a[Issue 4: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness]A a	As to Issue 2, y an appropriate 1(Poor) — As to Issue 3, y an appropriate 1(Poor) —	were nec time? We - 1.5 were nec	essary facts ere the factu	and the I	egal basis for	the claim				
[Issue 2: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness]a[Issue 3: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness]A[Issue 4: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness]A	an appropriate 1(Poor) — As to Issue 3, v an appropriate 1(Poor) —	time? We - 1.5 <u></u> were nec	ere the factu				and/or defens	se presen		
[Issue 3: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness] A   [Issue 4: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness] A	As to Issue 3, v an appropriate 1(Poor) ——	were nec	- 2(Fair) —			ning of the				
[Issue 3: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness]a[Issue 4: Logical Presentation and Persuasiveness]A	an appropriate 1(Poor) ——			— 2.5 —	— 3(Avg.) —	— 3.5 —	— 4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	— 5(Outst.)	
[Issue 4: Logical Presentation a and Persuasiveness]		As to Issue 3, were necessary facts and the legal basis for the claim and/or defense presented clearly and an appropriate time? Were the factual basis and legal reasoning of the team persuasive on Issue 3?								
[Issue 4: Logical Presentation a and Persuasiveness]		- 1.5 ——	- 2(Fair) —	— 2.5 —	— 3(Avg.) —	— 3.5 —	— 4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	— 5(Outst.)	
									ted clearly and sue 4?	
	1(Poor) —	- 1.5 ——	- 2(Fair) —	— 2.5 —	— 3(Avg.) —	— 3.5 —	— 4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	— 5(Outst.)	
8 [Facts] a	Did the team u appropriate info 1(Poor) ——	ormation	on the factu	al backgro	ound?	-	Did they resea — 4(Exc.) —			
9 [Responsiveness	Did the team re 1(Poor)				-		e other side's a — 4(Exc.) —	-		
10 [Initiative]	Vid the team to	aka tha ir	aitiativa in th		din a?					
	Did the team ta				-	— 3.5 —	— 4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	— 5(Outst.)	
11 [Responsiveness to the Arbitrators]	Did the team ro of the arbitrate		ppropriately,	respectfu	Illy, and in a ti	mely fashi	on toward the	question	s and instruction	
	1(Poor) —	- 1.5	– 2(Fair) —	— 2.5 —	— 3(Avg.) —	— 3.5 —	— 4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	— 5(Outst.)	
12 [Closing Statement]	Was the closing statement effective in conveying the overall picture of the team's arguments, reflecting the overall proceding? Did she/he finish it within the time limit? Did she/he use the time effectively?									
	1(Poor) —	- 1.5 ——	- 2(Fair) —	— 2.5 —	— 3(Avg.) —	— 3.5 —	— 4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	— 5(Outst.)	
13 [Presentation and Speech]	Did the team m excited, emotic			ear and c	onfident mann	er? Did th	e team membo	ers becon	ne excessively	
	1(Poor) —	- 1.5 ——	- 2(Fair) —	— 2.5 —	— 3(Avg.) —	— 3.5 —	— 4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	— 5(Outst.)	
14 [Lawyerly Manner]	Were the team's attitude and performance appropriate as attorneys in arbitration?									
	1(Poor) —	- 1.5	- 2(Fair) —	— 2.5 —	— 3(Avg.) —	— 3.5 —	— 4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	— 5(Outst.)	
15 [Teamwork]	Did each member of the team perform his/her role appropriately? Did any member perform too dominantly, make no contribution?									
	1(Poor) —	- 1.5	– 2(Fair) —	— 2.5 —	— 3(Avg.) —	— 3.5 —	— 4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	— 5(Outst.)	