ROUND A (English)

of the Briefel	
of the Briefs]	0 (Fail) ··· 1 (Poor)— 1.5 — 2 (Fair)— 2.5 — 3 (Avg.)— 3.5 — 4 (Excellent)— 4.5 — 5 (Outstanding)
2 [Persuasiveness of the Briefs]	On each issue, is the brief well founded with respect to facts, legal provisions, and other authorities? Is it ogical and persuasive?
	0 (Fail) ··· 1 (Poor)— 1.5 — 2 (Fair)— 2.5 — 3 (Avg.)— 3.5 — 4(Excellent)— 4.5 — 5 (Outstanding)
3 [Opening Statement]	Was the opening statement effective in conveying the overall picture of the team's arguments? Did she/he finish it within the time limit? Did she/he use the time effectively?
	0 (Fail) ··· 1 (Poor)— 1.5 — 2 (Fair)— 2.5 — 3 (Avg.)— 3.5 — 4 (Excellent)— 4.5 — 5 (Outstanding)
4 [β Case :Logical a Presentation]	Were necessary facts and the legal basis for the claim and/or defense presented clearly and at an appropriate time? Were the factual basis and legal reasoning of the team persuasive?
	0 (Fail) ··· 1 (Poor) — 1.5 — 2 (Fair) — 2.5 — 3 (Avg.) — 3.5 — 4 (Excellent) — 4.5 — 5 (Outstanding)
5 [β Case:Persuasiveness]	Were necessary facts and the legal basis for the claim and/or defense presented clearly and at an appropriate time? Were the factual basis and legal reasoning of the team persuasive?
	0 (Fail) ··· 1 (Poor) — 1.5 — 2 (Fair) — 2.5 — 3 (Avg.) — 3.5 — 4 (Excellent) — 4.5 — 5 (Outstanding)
6 [Event Case:Logocal Presentation]	Were necessary facts and the legal basis for the claim and/or defense presented clearly and at an appropriate time? Were the factual basis and legal reasoning of the team persuasive?
	0 (Fail) ··· 1 (Poor)— 1.5 — 2 (Fair)— 2.5 — 3 (Avg.)— 3.5 — 4 (Excellent)— 4.5 — 5 (Outstanding)
7 [Event Case:Persuasiveness]	Did the team understand the given facts accurately and sufficiently? Did they research and present appropriate information on the factual background?
	0 (Fail) ··· 1 (Poor)— 1.5 — 2 (Fair)— 2.5 — 3 (Avg.)— 3.5 — 4 (Excellent)— 4.5 — 5 (Outstanding)
	Were the legal arguments well-structured, persuasive and based on accurate understanding of the contracts and UNIDROIT Principles?
	0 (Fail) ··· 1 (Poor) — 1.5 — 2 (Fair) — 2.5 — 3 (Avg.) — 3.5 — 4 (Excellent) — 4.5 — 5 (Outstanding)
	Did the team understand the given facts accurately and sufficiently? Did they research and present appropriate information on the factual background?
	0 (Fail) ··· 1 (Poor)— 1.5 — 2 (Fair)— 2.5 — 3 (Avg.)— 3.5 — 4 (Excellent)— 4.5 — 5 (Outstanding)
10 [Responsiveness to the Other Side]	Did the team respond appropriately and in a timely fashion toward the other side's arguments?
to the Other Side]	0 (Fail) ··· 1 (Poor) — 1.5 — 2 (Fair) — 2.5 — 3 (Avg.) — 3.5 — 4 (Excellent) — 4.5 — 5 (Outstanding)
11 [Responsiveness to the Arbitrators]	Did the team respond appropriately, respectfully, and in a timely fashion toward the questions and nstructions of the arbitrators?
	0 (Fail) ··· 1 (Poor)— 1.5 — 2 (Fair)— 2.5 — 3 (Avg.)— 3.5 — 4 (Excellent)— 4.5 — 5 (Outstanding)
12 [Closing Statement]	Was the closing statement effective in conveying the overall picture of the team's arguments, reflecting the overall proceding? Did she/he finish it within the time limit? Did she/he use the time effectively?
	0 (Fail) ··· 1 (Poor)— 1.5 — 2 (Fair)— 2.5 — 3 (Avg.)— 3.5 — 4 (Excellent)— 4.5 — 5 (Outstanding)
13 [Presentation and Speech]	Did the team members speak in a clear and confident manner? Did the team members become excessively excited, emotional, or confused?
	0 (Fail) ··· 1 (Poor)— 1.5 — 2 (Fair)— 2.5 — 3 (Avg.)— 3.5 — 4 (Excellent)— 4.5 — 5 (Outstanding)
14 [Lawyerly Manner]	Were the team's attitude and performance appropriate as attorneys in arbitration?
	0 (Fail) ··· 1 (Poor) — 1.5 — 2 (Fair) — 2.5 — 3 (Avg.) — 3.5 — 4 (Excellent) — 4.5 — 5 (Outstanding)
15 [Teamwork]	Did each member of the team perform his/her role appropriately? Did any member perform too dominantly, or make no contribution?
	0 (Fail) ··· 1 (Poor)— 1.5 — 2 (Fair)— 2.5 — 3 (Avg.)— 3.5 — 4 (Excellent)— 4.5 — 5 (Outstanding)
	Total: ()/75 Points