ROUND A (English)

1 [Expression & Organization	Does the brief organized?	i use aue	quate and pre	ecise expr	essions: Is i	t easy to r	read and comp	renena: 1	s it well
of the Briefs]	1 (Poor) —	— 1.5 —	— 2(Fair) —	— 2.5 —	— 3(Avg.) —	— 3.5 —	— 4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	— 5(Outst.)
2 [Persuasiveness of the Briefs]	On each issue logical and per	e, is the b	rief well foun						
	1 (Poor) —	— 1.5 —	— 2(Fair) —	— 2.5 —	— 3(Avg.) —	— 3.5 —	— 4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	— 5(Outst.)
3 [Opening Statement]	Was the opening statement effective in conveying the overall picture of the team's arguments? Did she/he finish it within the time limit? Did she/he use the time effectively?								
	1 (Poor) —	— 1.5 —	— 2(Fair) —	— 2.5 —	— 3(Avg.) —	— 3.5 —	— 4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	— 5(Outst.)
4 [Chocolate Case: Logical Presentation]	As to Chocola clearly and at			ary facts	and the legal	basis for t	the claim and/	or defens	e presented
	1 (Poor) —	— 1.5 —	— 2 (Fair) —	— 2.5 —	— 3(Avg.) —	<u> </u>	— 4(Exc.) —	<u> </u>	— 5(Outst.)
5 [Chocolate Case: Persuasiveness]	Were the factual basis and legal reasoning of the team persuasive on Chocolate Case?								
	1 (Poor) —	— 1.5 —	— 2(Fair) —	— 2.5 —	— 3(Avg.) —	— 3.5 —	— 4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	— 5(Outst.)
6 [M&A Case: Logical Presentation]	As to M&A Case, were necessary facts and the legal basis for the claim and/or defense presented clearly and at an appropriate time?								
	1 (Poor) —	— 1.5 —	— 2 (Fair) —	— 2.5 —	— 3(Avg.) —	— 3.5 —	— 4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	— 5(Outst.)
7 [M&A Case: Persuasiveness]	Were the factual basis and legal reasoning of the team persuasive on M&A Case?								
	1 (Poor) —	— 1.5 —	— 2 (Fair) —	— 2.5 —	— 3(Avg.) —	— 3.5 —	— 4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	— 5(Outst.)
8 [Facts]	Did the team of appropriate in 1 (Poor)	formation	on the factu	al backgro	ound?	-	Did they rese — 4(Exc.) —		
	. (. 55.)		_ (,,		- (,		. (=/(0.)		
9 [Responsiveness to the Other Side]	Did the team i	respond a	appropriately	and in a t	imely fashion	toward th	e other side's	argument	s?
	1 (Poor) —	— 1.5 —	— 2(Fair) —	— 2.5 —	— 3(Avg.) —	— 3.5 —	— 4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	— 5(Outst.)
10 [Initiative]	Did the team take the initiative in the proceeding?								
	1 (Poor) —	— 1.5 —	— 2 (Fair) —	— 2.5 —	— 3(Avg.) —	— 3.5 —	— 4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	— 5(Outst.)
11 [Responsiveness to the Arbitrators]	Did the team respond appropriately, respectfully, and in a timely fashion toward the questions and instructions of the arbitrators?								
	1 (Poor) —	— 1.5 —	— 2(Fair) —	— 2.5 —	— 3(Avg.) —	— 3.5 —	— 4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	— 5(Outst.)
12 [Closing Statement]	Was the closir overall proced								s, reflecting the vely?
	1 (Poor) —	— 1.5 —	— 2(Fair) —	— 2.5 —	— 3(Avg.) —	— 3.5 —	— 4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	— 5(Outst.)
13 [Presentation and Speech]	Did the team i excited, emoti			ear and c	onfident manr	ner? Did th	ne team memb	ers becor	ne excessively
	1 (Poor) —	— 1.5 —	— 2(Fair) —	— 2.5 —	— 3(Avg.) —	— 3.5 —	— 4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	— 5(Outst.)
14 [Lawyerly Manner]	Were the team's attitude and performance appropriate as attorneys in arbitration?								
	1 (Poor) —	— 1.5 —	— 2(Fair) —	— 2.5 —	— 3(Avg.) —	3.5	— 4(Exc.) —	— 4.5 —	— 5(Outst.)
15 [Teamwork]	Did each member of the team perform his/her role appropriately? Did any member perform too dominantly, make no contribution?								
	1 (Poor) —	<u> </u>	— 2(Fair) —	<u> </u>	— 3(Avg.) —	3.5	— 4(Exc.) —	<u> 4.5 —</u>	— 5(Outst.)
				al: (75 Points		