ROUND A (English)

1 [Expression & Organization of the Briefs]	Does the brief use adequate and precise expressions? Is it easy to read and comprehend? Is it well organized? 1 (Poor) —— 1.5 —— 2 (Fair) —— 2.5 —— 3 (Avg.) —— 3.5 —— 4 (Exc.) —— 4.5 —— 5 (Outst.)
2 [Persuasiveness of the Briefs]	On each issue, is the brief well founded with respect to facts, legal provisions, and other authorities? Is it logical and persuasive? 1 (Poor) —— 1.5 —— 2 (Fair) —— 2.5 —— 3 (Avg.) —— 3.5 —— 4 (Exc.) —— 4.5 —— 5 (Outst.)
3 [Opening Statement]	Was the opening statement effective in conveying the overall picture of the team's arguments? Did she/he finish it within the time limit? Did she/he use the time effectively? 1 (Poor) —— 1.5 —— 2 (Fair) —— 2.5 —— 3 (Avg.) —— 3.5 —— 4 (Exc.) —— 4.5 —— 5 (Outst.)
4 [Head Office Case]	Were necessary facts and the legal basis for the claim and/or defense presented clearly and at an appropriate time? Were the factual basis and legal reasoning of the team persuasive? 1 (Poor) —— 1.5 —— 2 (Fair) —— 2.5 —— 3 (Avg.) —— 3.5 —— 4 (Exc.) —— 4.5 —— 5 (Outst.)
5 [Loan Case]	Were necessary facts and the legal basis for the claim and/or defense presented clearly and at an appropriate time? Were the factual basis and legal reasoning of the team persuasive? 1 (Poor) —— 1.5 —— 2 (Fair) —— 2.5 —— 3 (Avg.) —— 3.5 —— 4 (Exc.) —— 4.5 —— 5 (Outst.)
6 [Investment Case]	Were necessary facts and the legal basis for the claim and/or defense presented clearly and at an appropriate time? Were the factual basis and legal reasoning of the team persuasive? 1 (Poor) —— 1.5 —— 2 (Fair) —— 2.5 —— 3 (Avg.) —— 3.5 —— 4 (Exc.) —— 4.5 —— 5 (Outst.)
7 [Facts]	Did the team understand the given facts accurately and sufficiently? Did they research and present appropriate information on the factual background? 1 (Poor) —— 1.5 —— 2 (Fair) —— 2.5 —— 3 (Avg.) —— 3.5 —— 4 (Exc.) —— 4.5 —— 5 (Outst.)
8 [Legal Arguments]	Were the legal arguments well-structured, persuasive and based on accurate understanding of the contracts and UNIDROIT Principles? 1 (Poor) —— 1.5 —— 2 (Fair) —— 2.5 —— 3 (Avg.) —— 3.5 —— 4 (Exc.) —— 4.5 —— 5 (Outst.)
9 [Responsiveness to the Other Side]	Did the team respond appropriately and in a timely fashion toward the other side's arguments? 1 (Poor) —— 1.5 —— 2 (Fair) —— 2.5 —— 3 (Avg.) —— 3.5 —— 4 (Exc.) —— 4.5 —— 5 (Outst.)
10 [Initiative]	Did the team take the initiative in the proceeding? 1 (Poor) —— 1.5 —— 2 (Fair) —— 2.5 —— 3 (Avg.) —— 3.5 —— 4 (Exc.) —— 4.5 —— 5 (Outst.)
11 [Responsiveness to the Arbitrators]	Did the team respond appropriately, respectfully, and in a timely fashion toward the questions and instructions of the arbitrators? 1 (Poor) —— 1.5 —— 2 (Fair) —— 2.5 —— 3 (Avg.) —— 3.5 —— 4 (Exc.) —— 4.5 —— 5 (Outst.)
12 [Closing Statement]	Was the closing statement effective in conveying the overall picture of the team's arguments, reflecting the overall proceding? Did she/he finish it within the time limit? Did she/he use the time effectively? 1 (Poor) —— 1.5 —— 2 (Fair) —— 2.5 —— 3 (Avg.) —— 3.5 —— 4 (Exc.) —— 4.5 —— 5 (Outst.)
13 [Presentation and Speech]	Did the team members speak in a clear and confident manner? Did the team members become excessively excited, emotional, or confused? 1 (Poor) —— 1.5 —— 2 (Fair) —— 2.5 —— 3 (Avg.) —— 3.5 —— 4 (Exc.) —— 4.5 —— 5 (Outst.)
14 [Lawyerly Manner]	Were the team's attitude and performance appropriate as attorneys in arbitration? 1 (Poor) —— 1.5 —— 2 (Fair) —— 2.5 —— 3 (Avg.) —— 3.5 —— 4 (Exc.) —— 4.5 —— 5 (Outst.)
15 [Teamwork]	Did each member of the team perform his/her role appropriately? Did any member perform too dominantly, or make no contribution? 1 (Poor) —— 1.5 —— 2 (Fair) —— 2.5 —— 3 (Avg.) —— 3.5 —— 4 (Exc.) —— 4.5 —— 5 (Outst.)
Total: ( )/75 Points	